arXiv:1504.08084v1 [math.RA] 30 Apr 2015

A study of Blattner-Montgomery duality in weak Hopf algebras of groupoid algebras type

Wagner Cortes

Instituto de Matemática
Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul
91509-900, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

E-mail: *wocortes@gmail.com*

Abstract

We completely describe the nucleous and the image of the application defined in [8], when all tensor products are over a field. Moreover, we study a relationship with the results obtained in [5].

Keywords: Weak Hopf Algebras, groupoid algebras, duality.
MSC 2010: 16S40; 16T05.

Introduction

Weak bialgebras and weak Hopf algebras are generalizations of ordinary bialgebras and Hopf algebras in the following sense: the axioms are the same, but the multiplicativity of the counit and comultiplicativity of the unit are replaced by weaker axioms. Perhaps the well-known easiest example of a weak Hopf algebra is a groupoid algebra; other examples are face algebras [6], quantum groupoids [7] and generalized Kac algebras [9]. A purely algebraic approach can be found in [2] and [3].

The smash products considered in [8] and [10] are unital algebras since the tensor products are over suitable algebras. In this case, the application defined in [8] is an isomorphism, however, when we consider the same smash products of [8] and [10] over a field, they are not necessarily unital algebras. Thus, our purpose is to present a generalization of Blattner-Montgomery duality obtained in ([8], Theorem 3.3) in the case of groupoid algebras and the tensor products over a field. Moreover, we obtain a relationship between our results and the results obtained in ([5], Section 5).

It is convenient to point out that our results are different from [5]. The authors in [5] studied the Blattner-Montgomery's duality in the case of $B *_\beta G\sharp KG^*$, where G is a groupoid, β is an action of G in B and KG^* is the dual weak Hopf algebra of KG. In this paper, we consider the smash product of type $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$, where KG is a weak Hopf algebra acting on B and KG^* is the dual weak Hopf algebra. In this paper we complete the studies of the duality of Blattner-Montgomery of ([5], Section 5) considering the algebra $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$ and we obtain a link between our results and the ones in ([5], Section 5).

The paper is organized as follows: in the Section 1 we give some preliminaries about weak Hopf algebras, weak Hopf module algebras, weak smash products and groupoids, see [3] and [4] for more details. In the Section 2, we present our main results. We consider the application defined in ([8], Lemma 3.1), but the tensor products are over a field K. In this case, we completely describe the nucleous and the image and we obtain an exact sequence of algebras where we relate our description of the image with the results obtained in ([5], Section 5).

1 Preliminaries

In this section, we present some preliminaries that will be necessary during this paper.

We begin this section with the following definition, see [1] and [4] for more details.

Definition 1.1. *Let K be a field. A weak K-bialgebra H is a K-module with a K-algebra structure (μ, η) and a K- coalgebra structure (Δ, ϵ) such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $\Delta(hk) = \Delta(h)\Delta(k)$, *for all* $h, k \in H$;

(ii) $\Delta^2(1) = 1_1 \otimes 1_2 1'_1 \otimes 1'_2 = 1_1 \otimes 1'_1 1_2 \otimes 1'_2$;

(iii) $\epsilon(hkl) = \epsilon(hk_1)\epsilon(k_2 l) = \epsilon(hk_2)\epsilon(k_1 l)$, *for all* $h, k, l \in H$.

Moreover, a weak K-bialgebra H is a weak Hopf algebra if there exists a k-linear map $S : A \to A$, called the antipode, satisfying the following additional conditions:

(i) $x_1 S(x_2) = \epsilon(1_1 x)1_2$, *for all* $x \in H$;

(ii) $S(x_1)x_2 = 1_1\epsilon(x1_2)$, *for all* $x \in H$;

(iii) $S(x_1)x_2S(x_3) = S(x)$, *for all* $x \in H$.

We used the Sweedler-Heyneman notation for the comultiplication, namely $\Delta(h) = h_1 \otimes h_2$.

The following definition appears in [1].

Definition 1.2. *Let* G *be a non-empty set with a binary operation partially defined, i.e, for* $g, h \in G$ *we write* $\exists gh$ *when the binary operation* gh *is defined. An element* $e \in G$ *is called identity if* $\exists eg$ *and* $\exists ge$, *then* $eg = g = ge$ *and the set of identities of* G *will be denoted by* G_0. *The set* G *is called groupoid if the following conditions are satisfied:*

(G1) For all $g, h, l \in G$, *if* $\exists g(hl)$ *and* $\exists (gh)l$, *then* $g(hl) = (gh)l$;

(G2) For all $g, h, l \in G$, $\exists g(hl)$ *if and only if* $\exists gh$ *and* $\exists hl$;

(G3) For each $g \in G$ *there exists unique elements* $s(g), t(g) \in G$ *such that* $\exists gt(g)$, $\exists s(g)g$ *and* $gt(g) = g = s(g)g$;

(G4) For each $g \in G$ *there exists an unique element* $g^{-1} \in G$ *such that* $t(g) = g^{-1}g$ *and* $s(g) = gg^{-1}$. *In this case,* $s(g)$ *is called source of* g *and* $t(g)$ *is called the target of* g.

The following lemma appears in [1].

Lemma 1.3. *Let* G *be a groupoid. Then the following statements hold.*

(1) For any $g, h \in G$, $\exists gh$ *if and only if* $t(g) = s(h)$ *and in this case* $s(gh) = s(g)$ *and* $t(gh) = t(h)$.

(2) For any $g, h \in G$, $\exists (gh)^{-1}$ *if and only if* $\exists h^{-1}g^{-1}$ *and in this case* $(gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1}$.

We set $G_2 = \{(g, h) \in G \times G : t(g) = s(h)\} = \{(g, h) \in G \times G | \exists gh\}$, i.e., the set of pair of elements of G where the product exists.

Let G be a groupoid and K a field. Then the groupoid algebra KG is the K-vector space whose basis is $\{u_g | g \in G\}$ with usual sum and multiplication rule $u_g u_h = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} u_{gh},\ if\ t(g) = s(h) \\ 0,\ otherwise \end{array} \right\}$, where u_g, $g \in G$ is a symbol to represent $g \in G$.

From [4], KG is a weak Hopf algebra with operations $\Delta : KG \to KG \otimes KG$, $\epsilon : KG \to K$ and $S : KG \to KG$ defined by $\Delta(u_g) = u_g \otimes u_g$, $\epsilon(u_g) = 1_K$ and $S(u_g) = u_{g^{-1}}$, for all $g \in G$. Moreover, if G is a groupoid such that G_0 is a finite set, then KG is an unital weak Hopf algebra whose identity is $\sum_{e\in G_0} u_e$, see [4] for more details.

Let G be a groupoid with a finite number of elements, K a field and KG^* the dual algebra. As in [4], we have $\Delta : KG^* \to KG^* \otimes KG^*$, $\epsilon : KG^* \to K$ and $S : KG^* \to KG^*$ defined by $\Delta(\rho_g) = \sum_{hl=g} \rho_h \otimes \rho_l = \sum_{t(g)=t(h)} \rho_{gh^{-1}} \otimes \rho_h$, $\epsilon(\sum r_g \rho_g) = \sum_{e\in G_0} r_e$ and $S(a_g\rho_g) = a_g\rho_{g^{-1}}$. We easily have that KG^* is a weak Hopf algebra, see [4] for more details.

We finish this section with the definition of weak Hopf module algebra, weak smash product and related subjects, see [2], [3] and [8] for more details.

Definition 1.4. *Let KG be the weak Hopf algebra of groupoid type and B a K-algebra. We say that B is a left weak KG-module algebra if there exists an action $. : KG \otimes B \to B$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) B is a left KG-module.

(ii) $\sigma(ab) = (\sigma_1.a)(\sigma_2.b)$

(iii) $\sigma 1_B = \epsilon_t(\sigma)1_B$.

Suppose that KG is a weak Hopf algebra of finite dimension and B a left weak Hopf KG-module algebra. Similarly with [8] the weak smash product $B\sharp KG$ is the tensor product $B \otimes_K KG$ with usual sum and multiplication rule is

$$(a\sharp u_\sigma)(b\sharp u_\tau) = a(\sigma.b)\sharp u_{\sigma\tau}.$$

Note that, in this case, $1_B\sharp 1_{KG}$ is not necessarily an identity of $B\sharp KG$. Since KG is a finite dimensional weak Hopf algebra, we have that KG^* the dual weak Hopf algebra and we have the double smash product $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$ as the tensor product $B \otimes_K KG \otimes_K KG^*$ with usual sum and multiplication rule is $(a\sharp u_m\sharp\rho_n)(b\sharp u_s\sharp\rho_t) = (a\sharp u_m)(\rho_n \rightharpoonup (b\sharp u_s))\sharp\rho_n * \rho_t)$, where the action of KG^* on $B\sharp KG$ is defined by

$$\rho_h \rightharpoonup (b\sharp u_l) = b\sharp\rho_h(u_l)u_l,$$

and for each $f, g \in KG^*$, $f * g$ is the convolution product defined by $(f * g)(\delta_\sigma) = f(\delta_\sigma)g(\delta_\sigma)$, $\sigma \in G$.

2 A study of Blattner-Montgomery's duality

In this section, we present the main results of this paper. From now on K will always denote a field, all the tensor products will be over K, $H = KG$, where G is a finite groupoid and the smash products $B\sharp KG$ and $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$ as the tensor product $B \otimes_K KG$ and

$$B \otimes_K KG \otimes_K KG^*,$$

respectively, with usual sum and multiplication rule defined as in the last section. Note that $1_B\sharp 1_{KG}$ and $1_B\sharp 1_{KG}\sharp 1_{KG^*}$ are not necessarily the identities of $B\sharp KG$ and $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$, respectively.

The following result was proved in [4] and it is used from now on without further mention.

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that B is a left weak KG-module algebra. Then $\{e.1_B | e \in G_0\}$ is a set of central orthogonal idempotents in B and $B = \oplus_{\{x \in G_0\}} B_x$, where $B_x = B(x.1_B) = B(t(x).1_B) = B_{t(x)}$*

We consider the subsets $A_1 = \{g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | (g,h) \in G_2 \ and \ s(g) = t(g)\}$, $A_2 = \{g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | (g,h) \in G_2, \ and \ s(g) \neq t(g)\}$, $A_3 = \{a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | a \in B, (g,h) \notin G_2\}$, $A_4 = \{a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | a \in B_l, a \notin B_g, (l,g) \notin G_2, (g,l) \notin G_2, (g,h) \in G_2\}$, $A_5 = \{a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | a \in B_l, a \notin B_g, (l,g) \in G_2, (g,l) \notin G_2, (g,h) \in G_2, s(g) \neq t(g)\}$, $A_6 = \{a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | a \in B_l, a \notin B_g, (l,g) \notin G_2, (g,l) \in G_2, (g,h) \in G_2\}$, $A_7 = \{a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | a \notin B_g, (g,h) \in G_2, a \in B_l, (l,g) \in G_2, (g,l) \in G_2, s(g) = t(g)\}$, $A_8 = \{a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | a \in B_l, a \notin B_g, (l,g) \in G_2, (g,l) \in G_2, (g,h) \in G_2, s(g) \neq t(g)\}$, $A_9 = \{a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | a \notin B_g, a \in B_l, (g,h) \in G_2, (l.g) \in G_2, (g,l) \notin G_2, s(g) \notin t(g)\}$ and $A_{10} = \{a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | a \notin B_g, a \in B_l, (g,h) \in G_2, (l,g) \in G_2, (g,l) \notin G_2, s(g) = t(g)\}$ of $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$ and we easily have that $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^* = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + A_5 + A_6 + A_7 + A_8 + A_9 + A_{10}$.

Analogously to ([8], Lemma 3.1) we have the homomorphim $\varphi : B\sharp KG\sharp KG^* \to End(B\sharp KG)_B$ defined by $\varphi(b\sharp u_h\sharp \rho_l)(a\sharp u_m) = (b\sharp u_h)(a\sharp \rho_l \rightharpoonup u_m)$ and in the next theorem we completely describe the nucleous and the image.

Theorem 2.2. *Let B a left weak KG-module algebra and $\varphi : B\sharp KG\sharp KG^* \to End_B(B\sharp KG)$ defined by*

$$\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h)(b\sharp u_l) = (a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp \rho_h \rightharpoonup u_l).$$

Then $ker\varphi = A_3 + A_4 + A_5 + A_6$ *and* $A_i \cap ker\varphi = (0)$*, for* $i \in \{1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$*. In this case,* $Im\varphi \simeq (B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*)/ker\varphi \simeq A_1 + A_2 + A_7 + A_8 + A_9 + A_{10}$

Proof. Let $a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in A_3$. Then

$$\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(b\sharp u_l) = (a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp\rho_h \rightharpoonup u_l) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp u_l) \ if \ l = h \\ 0 \ if \ l \neq h \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since $(g, h) \notin G_2$ we have that $\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(b\sharp u_l) = 0$.

Let $a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in A_4$. Then

$$\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(b\sharp u_m) = (a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp\rho_h) \rightharpoonup u_m = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp u_m) \ if \ m = h \\ 0 \ if \ m \neq h \end{array} \right\}.$$

If $m = h$, then we have that

$$(a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp u_h) = a(g.b)\sharp u_{gh} = a(h.1_B)(g.1_B)(g.b)\sharp u_{gh} = a(t(h).1_B)(t(g).1_B)(g.b)\sharp u_{gh}.$$

By the fact that $(l, g) \notin G_2$, we have that $a(l.1_B)(h.1_B)(g.1_B)(g.b) \in B_{lg} = 0$. Thus, $a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in ker\varphi$ and it follows that $A_4 \subseteq ker\varphi$. By similar arguments we show that $A_5 \subseteq ker\varphi$. Next, let $a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in A_6$. Then, $\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(b\sharp u_m) = (a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp\rho_h) \rightharpoonup u_m) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp u_h) \ if \ m = h \\ 0 \ if \ m \neq h \end{array} \right\}$. Suppose that $m = h$. Hence, we have that $\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(b\sharp u_m) = (a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp u_h) = a(g.b)\sharp u_{gh}$ and it follows that

$$\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(b\sharp u_m) = a(g.b)\sharp u_{gh} = a(t(l).1_B)(t(g).1_B)(g.b)\sharp u_{gh} = 0,$$

because if $t(l) = t(g)$, then we would have $a \in B_g$, which contradicts the fact that $a \notin B_g$. Consequently, $A_6 \subseteq ker\varphi$.

We show that $A_i \cap ker\varphi = (0)$, for $i \in \{1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$. In fact, let $g.a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in A_1$ and $s = \sum_{e\in G_0} e.1_B\sharp u_h \in B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$. Then,

$$\varphi(g.a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(\textstyle\sum_{e\in G_0} e.1_B\sharp u_h) = \sum_{e\in G_0}(g.a\sharp u_g)(e.1_B\sharp u_h) = (g.a\sharp u_h).$$

and we have that $A_1 \nsubseteq ker\varphi$. Thus, $A_1 \cap \ker\varphi = (0)$. Let $a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in A_8$ and $(g^{-1}l).1_B\sharp 1_{KG} \in B\sharp KG$. Then $\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)((g^{-1}l).1_B\sharp 1_{KG}) = (a\sharp u_g)((g^{-1}l).1_B\sharp u_h) = a(g(g^{-1}l).1_B)\sharp u_{gh} = a(s(g)(l.1_B))\sharp u_{gh} = a(l.1_B)\sharp u_{gh} = a\sharp u_{gh}$ and we obtain that $A_8 \nsubseteq ker\varphi$ and $A_8 \cap ker\varphi = (0)$. Let $a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in A_{10}$ and $t(l).1_B\sharp u_h \in B\sharp KG$. Then, $\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(t(l)1_B\sharp u_h) = a\sharp u_{gh}$. Hence, $A_{10} \nsubseteq ker\varphi$ and we obtain that $A_{10} \cap ker\varphi = (0)$. The same arguments used to prove that $A_1 \cap ker\varphi = 0$,

$A_8 \cap ker\varphi = 0$ and $A_{10} \cap ker\varphi = 0$ can be used to prove that $A_2 \cap ker\varphi = 0$, $A_7 \cap ker\varphi = 0$ and $A_9 \cap ker\varphi = 0$, respectively.

Now, by the fact that $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^* = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + A_5 + A_6 + A_7 + A_8 + A_9 + A_{10}$ we have that $ker\varphi = A_3 + A_4 + A_5 + A_6$.

So, $Im\varphi \simeq (B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*)/ker\varphi \simeq A_1 + A_2 + A_7 + A_8 + A_9 + A_{10}$ ☐

During the rest of this paper, we use the sets A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6, A_7, A_8, A_9, A_{10} and the decomposition $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^* = A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + A_5 + A_6 + A_7 + A_8 + A_9 + A_{10}$ mentioned as before.

During the next three results we proceed to study with more details about the algebra $Im\varphi$

Proposition 2.3. *$A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}$ is a subalgebra of $B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$.*

Proof. Let $f_1 = g.a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in A_1$ and $f_2 = b\sharp u_{g'}\sharp\rho_{h'} \in A_7$. Then

$$f_1 f_2 = (g.a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp\delta_{hk^{-1},g'}u_{g'}\sharp\delta_{k,h'}\rho_k).\ (*)$$

Note that $hk^{-1} = g' \Leftrightarrow g'^{-1}h = k$. If either $s(g') \neq t(g) = s(h)$ or $s(g') = t(g) = s(h)$ and $t(h) \neq t(h')$, then we have that $f_1 f_2 = 0$. Now, suppose that $s(g') = t(g) = s(h)$ and $t(h) = t(h')$. Thus we can take $k = g'^{-1}h = h'$. Hence $f_1 f_2 = (g.a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp u_{g'})\sharp\rho_h = g(ab)\sharp u_{gg'}\sharp\rho_h$. By the fact that $s(g) = t(g)$, we have that $s(gg') = t(gg')$ and it follows that $(g, gg') \in G_2$ and $(gg', g) \in G_2$. Consequently, either $f_1 f_2 \in A_1$ or $f_1 f_2 \in A_7$. So, $A_1 A_7 \subseteq A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}$.

Using similar methods we show that $A_7 A_{10} \subseteq A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}$, $A_7 A_1 \subseteq A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}$, $A_{10} A_7 \subseteq A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}$, $A_1 A_{10} \subseteq A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}$ and $A_{10} A_1 \subseteq A_1 + A_7 A_{10}$. ☐

Proposition 2.4. *The element $y = \sum_{l\in G} l.1_B\sharp u_{t(l)}\sharp \sum_{t(l)=s(g)} \rho_g$ is the identity of $A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}$.*

Proof. It is not difficult to see that $y \in A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}$. Let $a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in A_7$. Then

$$(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(\textstyle\sum_{l\in G} l1_B\sharp u_{t(l)}\sharp \sum_{t(l)=s(n)} \rho_n) = (a\sharp u_g)(\sum_{l\in G} l.1_B\sharp\delta_{hk^{-1},t(l)}u_{t(l)})\sharp\delta_{k,n}\rho_k.\ (*)$$

Note that there exists $l \in G$ such that $t(l) = s(h)$ and in this case we may assume that $l = t(g)$. Thus, we obtain that $k = t(l)h = h$ and we have that there exists $n \in G$ with $t(n) = s(g)$ such that $n = h$. Hence, $(*) = (a\sharp u_g)(t(g).1_B\sharp u_{t(g))}\sharp\rho_h = a(t(g)1_B)\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h = a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h$.

We also consider the following equality

$$(\sum_{l\in G} l.1_B\sharp u_{t(l)}\sharp \sum_{t(l)=s(n)} \rho_n)(a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h) = (\sum_{l\in G}(l.1_B\sharp u_{t(l)})(a\sharp \delta_{nk^{-1},g}u_g)\sharp \delta_{k,h}\rho_k.$$

Note that we can take $n = gh$ and $k = h$. Thus, the last equality becomes $(\sum_{l\in G} l.1_B\sharp u_{t(l)})(a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h) = \sum_{l\in G} t(l).a\sharp u_{t(l)g}\sharp \rho_h)$. Since we can take $l = s(g)$, then $(\sum_{l\in G} l.1_B\sharp u_{t(l)})(a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h) = (s(g).a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h)$. By the fact that $a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h \in A_7$, we have that $a \in B_m$, for some $m \in G$ such that $(m, g) \in G_2$ and it follows that $t(m) = s(g)$. Hence, $(s(g).a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h) = a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h$.

By similar arguments we show that

$$(\sum_{l\in G} l1_B\sharp u_{t(l)}\sharp \sum_{t(l)=s(n)} \rho_n)(a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h) = a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h, \text{ for all } a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h \in A_{10}.$$

Finally, let $g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h \in A_1$. Then

$$(\sum_{l\in G} l1_B\sharp u_{t(l)}\sharp \sum_{t(l)=s(n)} \rho_n)(g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h) =$$
$$\sum_{l\in G}(l.1_B\sharp u_{t(l)})(g.a\sharp \delta_{nk^{-1},g}u_g)\sharp \delta_{k,h}\rho_k).(*\ *)$$

Note that there exists $l \in G$ such that $t(l) = s(g)$. In this case, we have that $k = h$ and $n = gh$. Consequently, $(**) = (l.1_B\sharp u_{t(l)})(g.a\sharp u_g)\sharp \rho_h = g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h$. By similar arguments as before we have that

$$(g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h)(\sum_{l\in G} l1_B\sharp u_{t(l)}\sharp \sum_{t(l)=s(n)} \rho_n) = g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h.$$

□

One may ask if the element $y = \sum_{l\in G} l.1_B\sharp u_{t(l)}\sharp \sum_{t(l)=s(g)} \rho_g$ of the Proposition 2.4 is the identity of $Im\varphi$, but the next proposition answers this question in the negative.

Proposition 2.5. *The element $y = \sum_{l\in G} l.1_B\sharp u_{t(l)}\sharp \sum_{t(l)=s(g)} \rho_g$ is in the left annihilator of A_2, the right annihilator of A_8 and the right annihilator of A_9.*

Proof. Let $g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h \in A_2$. Then

$$y(g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h) = \sum_{l\in G}((l.1_B)\sharp u_{t(l)})(g.a\sharp \delta_{gk^{-1},g}u_g)\sharp \delta_{k,h}\rho_k. \ (1)$$

By the fact that $(g, h) \notin G_2$, then $(1) = 0$. Next, let $a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h$. Then

$$(a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h)y = (a\sharp u_g)(\sum_{l\in g} l.1_B\sharp \delta_{hk^{-1},t(l)}u_{t(l)})\sharp \delta_{k,n}\rho_k. \ (2)$$

Following the same arguments presented in Theorem 2.2 we have that $(2) = s(g).a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h$. Since $a \in B_l$, for some $l \in G$ and $s(g) \neq t(g)$, then $s(g).a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h = 0$. By similar arguments we show that $A_9y = 0$. □

Now, we are ready to prove the second main result of the paper.

Theorem 2.6. *There exists a subalgebra* S *of* $A\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$ *that contains an unital subalgebra* S' *such that* $S = S' \oplus T$ *as* K*-algebras and an ideal* D *of* $A\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$ *such that* $A\sharp KG\sharp KG^* = D \oplus S$.

Proof. By the Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, $S = Im\varphi$ contains an unital subalgebra $S' = A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}$. Now, applying the Propositions 2.4 and 2.5 we obtain that $S = (A_1 + A_7 + A_{10}) \oplus (A_2 + A_8 + A_9)$ as K-algebras. So, by Theorem 2.2 we have that $A\sharp KG\sharp KG^* = S \oplus D$, where $D = Ker\varphi$. ☐

Remark 2.7. *By Theorem 2.6, the map* $\varphi : A\sharp KG\sharp KG^* \to End(A\sharp KG)$ *given by* $\varphi(a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h)(b\sharp u_l) = (a\sharp u_g)(b\sharp\rho_h \rightharpoonup u_l)$ *induces the following exact sequence of* K*-algebras*

$$0 \to D \to A\sharp KG\sharp KG^* \to \varphi(S) \to 0.$$

Next, we present an example where we apply our results.

Example 2.8. *Let* $G = \{t(g), s(g), g, g^{-1}\}$ *be a groupoid with* $G_0 = \{t(g), s(g)\}$ *such that* $s(g) \neq t(g)$ *and* $B = Ke_1 \oplus Ke_2 \oplus Ke_3$*, where* K *is a field and* e_1*,* e_2*,* e_3 *are pairwise orthogonal central idempotents with sum* 1_B*. We define the action of* KG *as follows:* $g.(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3) = a_1e_2 + a_2e_1 + a_3e_1$*,* $g^{-1}(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3) = a_1e_2 + a_2e_1 + a_3e_2$*,* $t(g)|_{Ke_1\oplus Ke_2} = id_{Ke_1\oplus Ke_2} = s(g)|_{Ke_1\oplus Ke_2}$*,* $t(g)(ae_3) = ae_2$ *and* $s(g)(ae_3) = ae_1$*.*

By Theorem 2.2 we have that $A_1 = A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{s(g)}\sharp\rho_{s(g)} + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_g + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_{t(g)} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_{g^{-1}}$*,* $A_2 = A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{g^{-1}}\sharp\rho_g + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{g^{-1}}\sharp\rho_{s(g)} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_{t(g)} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_{g^{-1}}$*,* $A_3 = A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{s(g)}\sharp\rho_{t(g)} + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{s(g)}\sharp\rho_{g^{-1}} + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_{s(g)} + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_g + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_{s(g)} + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_g + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_{t(g)} + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{g^{-1}}\sharp\rho_{g^{-1}} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{s(g)}\sharp\rho_{t(g)} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{s(g)}\sharp\rho_{g^{-1}} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_{s(g)} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_g + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{g^{-1}}\sharp\rho_{g^{-1}} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{g^{-1}}\sharp\rho_{t(g)} + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_{s(g)}$*,* $A_4 = A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_{t(g)} + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_{g^{-1}} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{s(g)}\sharp\rho_{s(g)} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{s(g)}\sharp\rho_{s(g)}$*,* $A_5 = A_{s(g)}\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_{t(g)} + A_{s(g)}\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_{g^{-1}} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{g^{-1}}\sharp\rho_{s(g)} + A_{t(g)}\sharp u_{g^{-1}}\sharp\rho_g$*.*

Thus, $Ker\varphi = A_3 + A_4 + A_5$*,* $Im\varphi = \varphi(A_1 + A_2)$*,* $y = g1_A\sharp u_{t(g)}\rho_{t(g)} + g1_B\sharp u_{t(g)}\sharp\rho_{g^{-1}} + g^{-1}1_B\sharp u_{s(g)}\sharp\rho_{s(g)} + g^{-1}1_B\sharp u_{s(g)}\sharp\rho_g$ *is the identity of element of* A_1 *and* $yA_2 = 0$*. Moreover, we have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \to Ker\varphi \to A\sharp KG\sharp KG^* \to Im\varphi \to 0$$

Accordingly ([5], Section 2) an action of a groupoid G on a K-algebra T is a pair $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$, where for each $g \in G$, $E_g = E_{gg^{-1}}$ is an ideal of T and $\beta_g : E_{g^{-1}} \to E_g$ is an isomorphism of rings satisfying the following conditions:

(i) β_e is the identity map I_{E_e} of E_e, for all $e \in G_0$,

(ii) $\beta_g\beta_h(r) = \beta_{gh}(r)$, for all $(g, h) \in G_2$ and $r \in E_{h^{-1}} = E_{(gh)^{-1}}$.

Let $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ be an action of G on a K-algebra T. Accordingly ([5], Section 2), the skew groupoid ring $T *_\beta G$ corresponding to β is defined as $\oplus_{g\in G}E_g\delta_g$, in which the δ_g's are symbols, with the usual sum and multiplication rule

$$(x\delta_g)(y\delta_h) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x\beta_g(y)\delta_{gh},\ if\ (g,h) \in G_2 \\ 0,\ otherwise \end{array} \right\}$$

Now, we are ready to study a relationship between our description and the one obtained in ([5], Section 5).

Theorem 2.9. *Let G be a finite groupoid, $\beta = (\{E_g\}_{g\in G}, \{\beta_g\}_{g\in G})$ an action of G on B. Suppose that B is a weak KG-module algebra and consider the same assumptions of Theorem 2.2. Then we have the exact sequence*

$$0 \to D_1 \to B *_\beta G\sharp KG^* \to \varphi(\Psi(C)) \to 0$$

where $D_1 = \oplus_{(g,h)\notin G_2}E_g\delta_g\sharp\rho_h$, $C = \oplus_{(g,h)\in G_2}E_g\delta_h\sharp\rho_h$ and $\Psi : B *_\beta G\sharp KG^* \to B\sharp KG\sharp KG^*$ is defined by $\Psi(a_g\delta_g\sharp\rho_h) = a_g\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h$.

Proof. First, we easily have that $D_1 = ker\varphi \circ \psi$. By ([5], Proposition 5.1),

$$B *_\beta G\sharp KG^* = C \oplus D_1$$

and we have that $(\varphi \circ \Psi)(A *_\alpha G\sharp KG^*) = \varphi(\Psi(C \oplus D_1)) = \varphi(\Psi(C))$. It is not difficult to see that $\Psi(C) \subseteq A_1 + A_2 + A_3$.

We claim that $\Psi(B_0) = A_1$, where $B_0 = \oplus_{(g,h)\in G_2, s(g)=t(g)}E_g\delta_g\sharp\rho_h$. In fact, for each element $g.a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h \in A_1$ we have that $\Psi(g.a\delta_g\sharp\rho_h) = g.a\sharp u_g\sharp\rho_h$ which implies that $A_1 \subseteq \Psi(B_0)$. The other inclusion is trivial. Hence, $\Psi(B_0) = A_1$ is an algebra with identity.

□

Using Theorem 2.9 and ([5], Theorem 3.7) we have the following result.

Corollary 2.10. *With the same assumptions of Theorem 2.9 we have that*

$$A_1 = \{g.a\sharp u_g\sharp \rho_h | (g,h) \in G_2 \text{ and } s(g) = t(g)\}$$

is an homomorphic image of an matrix algebra.

.

References

[1] D. Bagio and A. Paques, Partial groupoid actions: globalization, Morita theory and Galois theory, arXiv:1112.0670.

[2] G. Bohm and J. Vecroyse, Morita theory for coring extensions and cleft bicomodules, Adv. Math. 209(2007), 611-648.

[3] G. Bohm, F. Nill and K. Szlachanyi, Weak Hopf algebras, I. Integral theory and C^*-structure, J. Algebra 221 (1999), 385–438.

[4] S. Caenepeel, and E. de Groot, Galois Theory for weak hopf algebras, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 52(2007), 51-76.

[5] D. Flores and A. Paques, Duality for groupoid (co)-actions, Comm. in Algebra, 42 (2014),637-663.

[6] T. Hayashi, Quantum group symmetry of partition functions of IRF models and its applications to Jones' index theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 157 (1993), 331–345.

[7] D. Nikshych and L. Vainderman, Finite quantum groupoids and their applications, Arxiv Math:0006057v2.

[8] D. Nikshych, A duality theorem for quantum groupoids, Contemp. Math. 267 (2000), 237–243.

[9] T. Yamanouchi, Duality for generalized Kac algebras and a characterization of finite groupoid algebras, J. Algebra 163 (1994), 9–50.

[10] X. Zhou and S. Wang, The duality theorem for weak Hopf algebra (co)-actions, Comm. in Algebra 38(2010), 4613-4632.